	Case 1:21-cv-01040-NONE-EPG Docum	ent 9 Filed 09/17/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEA NEWMERZHYCKY,	Case No. 1:21-cv-01040-NONE-EPG
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT GRANT MERCANTILE AGENCY, INC. TO SHOW
14	GRANT MERCANTILE AGENCY, INC.,	CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT
15	Defendant.	ISSUE FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE MANDATORY INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
16		SEVEN (7) DAY DEADLINE
17		
18	On July 2, 2021, the Court entered on	Order Setting Mandatory Schoduling Conference
19	On July 2, 2021, the Court entered an Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference which set an Initial Scheduling Conference for September 16, 2021. (ECF No. 5.) The order was	
20		
21	electronically served on Defendant Grant Mercantile Agency, Inc. ("Defendant") through its counsel of record.	
22	The Initial Scheduling Conference was held on September 16, 2021, at 11:00 AM as	
23	scheduled. Plaintiff appeared telephonically through counsel. Defendant's counsel failed to	
24	appear at the conference at the time scheduled. After the Court reached out to Defendant's	
25	counsel's office, a substitute counsel who is on leave appeared, but the Court had already	
26	continued the conference.	
27	///	
28		
		1

Case 1:21-cv-01040-NONE-EPG Document 9 Filed 09/17/21 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, Defendant Grant Mercantile Agency, Inc., IS HEREBY ORDERED to show cause why sanctions should not issue for its counsel's failure to appear at the mandatory Initial Scheduling Conference. Defendant shall file a written response within seven (7) days of entry of this order. Failure to respond to this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 16, 2021 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE